

COMMUNICATION & SOCIETY

MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Date manuscript received:

Review date:

Reviewer's name:

Title of the manuscript:

NUMERICAL VALUE ASSIGNED

Deficient 1, Questionable 2, Sufficient 3, Good 4, Excellent 5

ITEMS TO BE VALUED	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives and/or hypothesis corresponds with the ideas, proposals, approaches, shortcomings or limitations of knowledge in the discussion of the theoretical framework of the investigation					
The methodological approach (quantitative o qualitative) and the techniques used (survey, content analysis, discussion groups, discourse analysis, etc.) are consistent with the objectives and/or the formulated hypothesis					
The author has showed the value of the employed samples (quantitative samples, group design, case selection, selection of informants, etc.) <i>(if applicable)</i>					
The tables and graphs are significant and necessary <i>(if applicable)</i>					
The sources used are appropriate and sufficient					
The analysis of the data (in empirical investigations) or the structure of the argument (in theoretical investigations) is correct					
The author clearly highlights the contributions of the article, and how they help to extend or complete the current knowledge on the topic discussed					
Relevance and scientific interest of the article: originality and innovativeness of the approach (studied topic, theoretical focus, methodological procedures, consulted sources etc.), execution and/or results					
The exposition is clear and understandable from a formal viewpoint					

FINAL
EVALUATION

Reject

Publish with
major revisions

Publish with
minor revisions

Publish as is

Comments to the author(s)

Comments to the journal editor

(These remarks will not be sent to the author(s). Please, fill this section only if necessary)