Anomalous diffusion in silo drainage

R. Arévalo^a, A. Garcimartín^b, and D. Maza^b

Department of Physics and Applied Mathematics, University of Navarra, E-31080 Pamplona, Spain

Received 13 July 2006 and Received in final form 7 February 2007 Published online: 2 July 2007 – © EDP Sciences / Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract. The silo discharge process is studied by molecular dynamics simulations. The development of the velocity profile and the probability density function for the displacements in the horizontal and vertical axis are obtained. The PDFs obtained at the beginning of the discharge reveal non-Gaussian statistics and superdiffusive behaviors. When the stationary flow is developed, the PDFs at shorter temporal scales are non-Gaussian too. For big orifices a well-defined transition between ballistic and diffusive regime is observed. In the case of a small outlet orifice, no well-defined transition is observed. We use a nonlinear diffusion equation introduced in the framework of non-extensive thermodynamics in order to describe the movements of the grains. The solution of this equation gives a well-defined relationship ($\gamma = 2/(3 - q)$) between the anomalous diffusion exponent γ and the entropic parameter q introduced by the non-extensive formalism to fit the PDF of the fluctuations.

PACS. 45.70.-n Granular systems – 45.70.Mg Granular flow: mixing, segregation and stratification – 45.50.-j Dynamics and kinematics of a particle and a system of particles

1 Introduction

The process of gravity-driven silo discharge could naively be expected to be a simple one, yet we lack a well-defined theoretical framework to explain the experimentally observed grain dynamics in terms of fundamental interactions. During the silo drainage, particles evolve under the action of gravity and interact between them through inelastic collisions in a complicated way, where intensive variables — such as density or temperature — are not well defined. Under these circumstances, the displacement and velocity fluctuations could be of the same order as its mean values, and the net force acting on each particle could even present strong deviations compared to the gravity force, therefore introducing unwanted effects like segregation or jamming [1–3]. Two models were generally considered successful in explaining the global characteristics of the flow (the velocity profile) inside the silo. One of them is based on a continuous approach and the other takes into account the discrete nature of the media. The continuum model [4] uses concepts like elasto-plastic potentials introduced in the framework of continuum mechanics, in which the mean-velocity field can be obtained. Nevertheless, this approach loses validity near the outlet orifice and does not bring any information about possible *microscopic* effects

like mixing or segregation. The second one is based on the idea that each individual particle executes haphazard movements which can be treated as a random walk. This notion was originally introduced by Litwiniszyn in the sixties and is commonly called *diffusive void model* [5]. This model focuses on the movement of voids injected at the outlet of the silo. Such voids diffuse upwards, exchanging their positions with the grains, which in turn move towards the orifice at the bottom. In spite of their different premises, both approaches give essentially the same results for the mean-velocity profile, but in the latter case the profile depends only on a single parameter, that can be regarded as a characteristic length α related to diffusion.

In this work we present numerical simulations of a silo discharge process, including the beginning of the operation. We use three outlet diameters to study the behavior of the velocity profile, demonstrating an evolution between the transitory and stationary states. Results for the PDFs of the displacements of individual grains reveal non-Gaussian statistics and super-diffusive behavior at the beginning of the discharge. In agreement with experiments, non-Gaussian to Gaussian PDF's transition is observed in the stationary regime. Finally, we show that the complete sequence of dynamical states displayed by the particles at the beginning of the discharge can be interpreted in the non-extensive statistical-mechanics framework introduced by Tsallis [6], which can be used to fit the obtained PDFs if the anomalous scaling of mean-square displacement as a function of time is taken into account.

^a e-mail: raturnes@alumni.unav.es

^b Also at Institute of Physics, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain.

2 The diffusive approach

The diffusive void model yields a well defined relationship between the fluctuations of the positions of the particles and the characteristic length used to fit the velocity profile [5,7]. But recent experiments have evidenced some discrepancies concerning these predictions. In [8], a high-resolution particle tracking experiment of a silo discharge is reported. The estimated value for the parameter α —around 2 or 3 particle diameters— is much larger than the one predicted by the aforementioned authors. Choi et al. also reported the observation of non-Gaussian statistics and a super-diffusive regime of the grain displacements at sufficiently short temporal scales. (This temporal scale is defined by the time it takes for a bead to fall a distance of its own diameter). The probability density functions (PDFs) obtained for the particle displacement in the vertical and horizontal directions at this scale are both fat-tailed. For larger displacements both PDFs evolve toward a Gaussian shape. The grains undergo a transition from a super-diffusive to a diffusive regime in a coarse-grained scale. At these scales, the velocity profile is stable and the diffusive models seem to regain their validity. More recently, a similar experiment was reported where the non-Gaussian fluctuations remain even for the coarse-grained scale [9].

Let us to go through the fundamental assumptions of the diffusive models. The line of reasoning proposed by Litwiniszyn [5], later developed by Mullins [7], basically introduces two fundamental assumptions: i) the particle moves following a random walk in which the interaction between horizontal an vertical displacements can be neglected, and ii) the time elapsed in one jump is almost the same than the one corresponding to a free fall. Assuming these hypothesis, in a typical experimental situation the diffusivity of the particle scales with its diameter d as $D \simeq$ $\sqrt{qd^3}$, and the parameter α —which Mullis named "jumping distance"— can be expressed as D/V = 3/8d, where V is the mean velocity in the vertical direction. The parameter α is relevant to check the theory against the experimental results because it is all that is needed to fit the velocity profile. The same parameter was derived from probabilistic arguments for an hexagonal array of particles [5], yielding the value $d/\sqrt{6}$. Taking into account the strongly biased character of the diffusive movements in the vertical direction, it is possible to write an analytic expression for the velocity profile (assuming a point source of voids) [7]:

$$v(r,z) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4\alpha z}\right),$$
 (1)

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$.

The same profile was derived from phenomenological arguments by Nedderman and Tüzün at the end of the seventies [10]. Following a kinematic reasoning, this model reproduces quite well the velocity profile inside the silo by assuming that the horizontal component of the velocity u is straightforwardly proportional to the gradient of the vertical velocity v:

$$u = B \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \,. \tag{2}$$

Considering the granular media as an incompressible material, an equation can be deduced for the velocity profile which is isomorphic to the one obtained following the diffusive model:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = B \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \,. \tag{3}$$

In this kinematic model, the temporal variable has been replaced by the coordinate z. The solution to this parabolic equation corresponds to a Gaussian profile whose shape only depends on the parameter B:

$$v(x,z) = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{4\pi Bz}} e^{-x^2/4Bz},\tag{4}$$

where Q stands for the volumetric flow.

The parameter B appearing in equation (3) plays the same role than the "jumping length" α introduced by diffusive models. But contrary to them, the kinematic model does not provide any explanation about the dependence of B on the typical variables of the problem —such as particle diameter, silo size or any other characteristic length. In all the cases reported, the value of B lies between 2 or 3 times the particle diameter. Besides, B depends on the position inside the silo [11].

Although the experimentally determined value of B does not match the jumping length predicted by the diffusive models either, both approaches rely on just a single parameter to describe the flow. This makes these kind of models appealing when trying to describe the movements of the particles in the discharge process.

Recently, a more general description was introduced [12] in order to provide an explanation for the discrepancy between the experimentally determined figures for the "diffusive length" and the values predicted by the diffusive approach. This new model assumes that the voids injected at the outlet of the silo do not match the size of just one particle. Instead, the injected void spreads through several grains, which will then move cooperatively. The resulting "cluster", which spreads the void throughout a group of particles, is called a "spot". Spots move upward inside the silo and the grains affected by the spot carry out small movements toward the base. As the grains affected by the spot must move in a concerted fashion, it is not surprising that the displacements of nearby particles present strong correlations. The resulting spot diffusivity allows to recover the values for the jumping length obtained in experiments.

Let us note that in all the cited references the discharge process must have reached a steady state regarding the flow, far enough from the beginning of the discharge. Moreover, the size of the orifice was large enough to avoid jamming events like those described in [13]. Remarkably, the universality of the results may be lost when the size of the outlet orifice is small (see the case of small flow in Ref. [8]). Regardless of the inherent complexity of these states, a diffusive approach might be suitable to describe the particle displacements, although the simple assumptions introduced by the model could lose their validity. Early stages of the discharge process involve a densely



Fig. 1. Average vertical velocity profile inside the silo for orifices of size 11 (top) and 3.8 d (bottom) at different stages of its evolution. Time increases from left to right. The last picture corresponds to the fully developed regime. The localized structures correspond to a well-defined region where strong velocity gradient exist. Note than this zone does not totally disappear in the stationary regime of the small outlet orifice.

packed media subjected to a strong, inhomogeneous shearing. Furthermore, even in the case of homogenous shear, densely packed granular media is prone to complex dynamics, as reported in [14–16], where concepts like particle mobility and diffusivity to are invoked to describe the particle dynamics.

3 Numerical simulations

We have carried out molecular dynamics simulations of disks in two dimensions [17]. A simulation begins with 5000 disks arranged in a regular lattice; they are then given random velocities, which have a Gaussian distribution. The disks are allowed to fall under gravity through a conical silo. Below this hopper lies a flat bottomed silo where the grains are deposited. This is the preparation phase, which is purposely long and complex in order to break the correlations that the initial regular arrangement of the grains might induce in the dynamics. Once all the grains have fallen into the flat silo, we wait until most of the kinetic energy is dissipated. Finally, we open an outlet at the bottom allowing the grains to fall and we start our measurements. The walls of the two silos used are built with grains. The interaction between grains is the same as the interaction between grains and walls, but the latter are fixed in their places. Thus the walls are rough and cause dissipative collisions. The width of the silo base is 50 grain diameters and the height reached by the grains when the silo is full is approximately twice that length. These dimensions guarantee that the flow rate does not depend on wall or filling effects. In addition, the filling height changes only slightly during a simulation.

The model for the forces describing the interaction of two particles i, j consists of normal and transversal contacts as well as dissipative terms:

$$F_n = k_n \xi^{3/2} - \gamma_n v_{i,j}^n, \tag{5}$$

$$F_t = -\min\left(\mu |F_n|, \gamma_s |v_{i,j}^s|\right) \cdot \operatorname{sign}\left(v_{i,j}^s\right), \tag{6}$$

where

$$v_{i,j}^{s} = \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{2} d\left(\omega_{i} + \omega_{j}\right).$$
(7)

Equation (5) gives the force in the normal direction of the contact. The first term is a restoring force proportional to the superposition ξ of the disks. The 3/2 exponent arises from the Hertz theory of the contact. The second term is a dissipation proportional to the relative





Fig. 2. Normalized PDFs for (a) horizontal and (b) vertical displacements. These distributions have been obtained in a temporal window where the averaged particle displacement is *lower* than a particle diameter. The dashed line is a Gaussian.

normal velocity of the interacting disks with damping coefficient γ_n . Equation (6) is the sliding component of the damping force. It implements the Coulomb criterion with friction coefficient μ . The damping in the transverse direction is proportional to the shear velocity given by equation (7) and a transverse damping coefficient γ_s . In this equation **s** is a unit vector tangential to the disks at the contact point, and ω_i and ω_j are their angular velocities. Thus, in this scheme of forces we have a restoring force which prevents grains to interpenetrate (although a very small penetration is needed) along with damping terms in the normal and tangential directions which dissipate energy during the contact. This dissipation is among the most prominent characteristics of granular media.

The values of the coefficients are, in reduced units, $k_n = 10^5 mg/d$, $\gamma_n = 100 m\sqrt{g/d}$, $\gamma_s = 300 m\sqrt{g/d}$, and $\mu = 0.5$. The integration time-step used is $1.25^{-4} \tau$ with $\tau = \sqrt{d/g}$, and m, d and g stand, respectively, for the mass and diameter of the disks and the acceleration of gravity.

The equations of motion were integrated using the velocity-Verlet algorithm and we used a neighbor list [18] to reduce the computational effort.

Fig. 3. Normalized PDFs for (a) horizontal and (b) vertical displacements. These distributions have been obtained in a temporal window where the averaged particle displacement is *larger* than a particle diameter. The dashed line is a Gaussian.

3.1 Velocity profiles and probability density functions

Using three different diameters of the exit orifice (namely, 3.8 d, 11 d and 16 d), we studied the evolution of the vertical velocity profile. The smaller and larger diameters where chosen because they belong clearly in two distinct regimes: for the former, the flow can be intermittent, while for the latter it is not [13]. We compute the velocity profile from the moment when the outlet is opened until the moment when the velocity profile becomes stationary. For each orifice size we perform 20 independent simulations and average them to obtain the final result.

In Figure 1 we show the evolution of the averaged velocity profile (only its vertical component) for the 3.8 and 11 d orifices. It is evident that in both cases groups of grains move downward together at the very beginning of the discharge, while structures that can be described as "bubbles" can be seen moving upward. Let us stress that these spatial structures do not correspond exactly to the spots introduced in [12]. These bubbles are zones where the mean velocity is larger than the bulk and its evolution reveals the intermittent regime at the beginning of the discharge. When these bubbles disappear, the characteristic stable flow profile is developed and the asymptotic



Fig. 4. Mean squared displacements for the horizontal and vertical displacements in logarithmic scale. Circles correspond to the x-component and triangles to the z-component. (a) 16 d silo. The ballistic character for small displacements is obvious; once the displacement exceeds a distance of about the diameter of the particle the movement becomes diffusive. (b) 3.8 d silo. For small exit orifices it is difficult to distinguish the two behaviors and the crossover at about one d mentioned for the large orifice. The movement of the particles seems to be superdiffusive at all scales.

velocity converges to a Gaussian profile. In the asymptotic regime (for the bigger orifices) the velocity profile in the middle of the silo can be fitted using a numerical "diffusivity length" of $2.2 \pm 0.2 d$ which is in excellent agreement with experiments [8,10].

The results obtained with the smaller exit orifice are similar but an important difference arises: the characteristic time needed to reach a stable flow grows dramatically as the outlet diameter decreases. This feature is related to the fact that an increasing number of bubbles appear in the system, inducing an intermittent flow in the silo. Under these circumstances, strong velocity gradients can be observed near the exit orifice. This implies that some assembly of particles can have a relatively low velocity that may enable them to form an arch near the outlet, and stable jamming events can arise if the particles arrest the flow. This intermittent regime will be studied anywhere.

We measured the displacements of individual grains during the discharge. In order to compare our results with those obtained experimentally, we chose a sampling time such that the mean displacement of the particles during it is of about 0.01 d (which is approximately the same than in the experiments). The particles were tracked in a window with different dimensions $(10 \times 10, 15 \times 15 \text{ and } 20 \times$ 20 d) at the center of the silo. We obtain the same results regardless of window size. When computing the PDFs of the vertical displacements we subtract the corresponding component of the mean flow velocity.

In Figure 2 we show the PDFs corresponding to the fully developed flow in semilogarithmic scale. The displacements in each direction are normalized by their standard deviation. We see that the PDFs are essentially the same for the vertical and horizontal displacements. Besides, they are clearly non-Gaussian, with apparent differences both in the central region and in the tails, which are fat. As reported in [8], the fluctuations in the horizontal direction evolve toward a Gaussian profile when the dis-

placements are larger than the diameter of the particle. On the other hand, the PDF corresponding to the fluctuations in the vertical direction remains long-tailed even for longer periods of time (see Fig. 3b) wich is consistent with the experimental result reported by Moka *et al.* [9].

In Figure 4 we show the mean squared displacements in each direction as a function of particle displacement (normalized by the particle diameter d) for a small and a large orifice. In the case of the largest orifice (Fig. 4a), a well-defined crossover between two different regimes is displayed. For displacements up to about one particle diameter, the variance displays a ballistic behavior, as in molecular fluid transport [19]. A normal diffusive regime can be used to describe particle fluctuations equal to or larger than one diameter for big orifices.

On the contrary, for the small orifice (Fig. 4b) there is not a well-defined transition from ballistic to diffusive regime. Furthermore, subparticle displacements are superdiffusive. This is consistent with the fact that the corresponding PDF (Fig. 3) remains fat tailed at all scales. Remarkably, a subparticle superdiffusive regime was reported in the experiment [8] for all the outlet orifices studied. Such an effect would be associated to the strong influence of the lateral wall on the particle dynamics where the particle tracking is performed.

It is remarkable that a single parameter like the one introduced by the kinematic model [10] or the jumping length introduced by Mullins [7] can be used to obtain the shape of the velocity profile, at least for large enough orifices. Unfortunately, the diffusive length B introduced by simple phenomenological arguments cannot be easily associated with the microscopic grain dynamics. The diffusive model also predicts a Gaussian velocity profile that depends on a single parameter. Seemingly the meaning of this parameter is the same: a "characteristic diffusive length"; but care is needed in order to compare them with one another. The parameter introduced by Mullins is the



Fig. 5. Normalized PDFs for (a) horizontal and (b) vertical displacements at the early stages of the discharge. These distributions have been obtained in a temporal window spanning from the beginning of the discharge to the moment when the mean displacement is twice the diameter of the beads. The dotted line is a Gaussian. The continuous line is equation (10).

ratio between diffusivity and the characteristic velocity of the grains (or the voids, for that case) during a "jump" comparable to its own diameter, whereas B is just a phenomenological parameter introduced to link the two velocity components (as no prediction is provided by the model, it must be obtained from experiments). But there is not any *a priori* reason to consider both parameters equivalent from a theoretical point of view.

Admittedly, the predictions of the diffusive models (including the void model, which assumes the unrealistic situation of the voids executing a simple Bernoulli random walk in a regular lattice) do not match quantitatively the fitting parameter for the velocity profile found in numerical simulations or experiments. As noted above, a new model was introduced to tackle this discrepancy [12]. This model proposes a cooperative mechanism or "cage effect" to represent the particle void interaction. This effect gives a correct estimation of the velocity fitting parameter but does not explain the microscopic diffusive regime.

The spot model infringes one of the most important hypothesis of the diffusive models: void and particle displacement are no longer symmetrical. The symmetry plays a crucial role in the calculation of particle diffusivity by determining the time that a grain needs to migrate a distance equal to its own diameter. When a void enters the silo, the particle must perform a ballistic flight in a time scale comparable to the free-fall time. The numerical simulation confirms the ballistic flight in the fully developed flow (Fig. 4) but in a temporal scale considerable larger than a free fall. In our simulations the flight time is three or four times longer than the free-fall time, depending on the position in the silo. This spatial dependence also agrees with experimental results [11] that reveal a variation of the parameter B with the particular place of the silo where it is measured. Work is in progress in this line and results will be discussed elsewhere.

4 A non-linear diffusive approach for the beginning of the discharge

Let us now describe the particle dynamics at the beginning of the discharge. This is important in order to understand the origin of jamming and the existence of a critical radius [13] beyond which the flow is never interrupted. In Figure 5 the normalized PDFs for the displacements in each direction are displayed. They are clearly non-Gaussian and differ a little bit from the ones obtained for a stationary flow. This is indeed as expected. At the early stages of the discharge, the particle motions should be more correlated than afterwards. The material needs to dilate in order to flow, and the dilation takes place preferably along the regions where more empty volume is available. Under these circumstances, contact network or force chains can persist for a finite time and eventually block the outlet orifice. At the beginning of the discharge, the packing fraction will be lower than the corresponding to the ideal close packing of a hexagonal lattice and an important number of arches [20] will introduce longrange interactions between particles. At the same time, each particle will be affected by nontrivial stresses along the vertical and horizontal directions.

The mean squared displacements scale approximately as $\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle \cong \langle \Delta z^2 \rangle \propto t^{4/3}$ for all the orifices studied (Fig. 6). Moreover, there is not a clear crossover between superdiffusive to diffusive regime even for big orifices. These results agree with the experimental observation that even for large orifices is necessary to wait an easily mensurable time before reaching the stationary regime. Clearly this time strongly depends on the orifice size.

The anomalous scaling and the PDFs obtained at the beginning of the discharge seem to be universal and suggest that it is necessary to introduce a generalized expression to describe the "walk" or displacements of the particles.

196



Fig. 6. Mean squared displacements for the horizontal (a) and vertical (b) displacements at early stages of the discharge. The horizontal component shows clearly a superdiffusive behavior in all the cases. The vertical component displays a similar behavior although it is not as obvious. The curves plotted would become smoother if the number of averaged discharges is increased.

Some theoretical models have been introduced to describe these familiar features of granular media using alternative non-standard thermodynamical descriptions, which resort to concepts like granular temperature [21]. But these dynamical states are in fact quasi-stationary [14, 15] whereas in our case it would not be pertinent to apply a concept like temperature at the beginning of the discharge. Besides, recent numerical [16] and experimental works [22] have shown that when a system of grains is affected by shearing effects, anomalous scaling relationships are general. As argued by many authors, any transport mechanism where the variance of particle displacements scales with time as t^{γ} with $\gamma \neq 1$ is connected to anomalous diffusion [23,24]. A great variety of physical systems can display fluctuating transport mechanisms where such anomalous scaling can be found [25]. The paradigmatic example is a random walk performed in a disordered network [23]. In such a case, randomness in the lattice induces inhomogeneous transition rates and as consequence the diffusive process is anomalous. In our simulations, the PDFs for the displacements indicate that the particle diffusion at the beginning of the discharge is anomalous. We will now introduce a formal framework to describe the anomalous behaviors.

There are two important attempts to generalize the normal diffusive equation to include anomalous dynamics. The first one remains linear but introduces the concept of *fractional derivative* in its formulation [26]. This formalism will be not discussed here due to the fact that it does not display a transition to normal diffusion at longer times. The second one is to switch to a *nonlinear* equation (NLDE) [27]; in the simplest version it reads

$$\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 \left[p(x,t) \right]^{\nu}}{\partial x^2} , \qquad (8)$$

where ν is a real number. One of the consequences of this functional dependence is that the diffusivity has now a complex dependence on the density probability func-

tion p(x, t). The microscopic origin of such an equation has been discussed by many authors [27–29], specially in the framework of the Tsallis entropy formalism [24,25]. Such formalism was proposed to analyze long-range interacting systems and its non-extensive properties. Since its introduction, Tsallis statistics has been used in a broad range of physical problems where non-Gaussian PDFs and anomalous diffusive behaviors appeared [30–32], even in the framework of a granular gas [33].

Tsallis formalism is important here because it gives an analytic solution to equation (8) introducing a new parameter q called *entropic parameter* [24]. Considering a 1D, case the solution for this equation is given by

$$p(x,t) = \frac{A_q}{\sqrt{3-q}(Dt)^{1/(3-q)}} e_q^{-x^2/[(3-q)(Dt)^{2/(3-q)}]}, \quad (9)$$

where $q = 2-\nu < 3$. It has been shown [24] that the scaling between time and mean square distance can be expressed as a function of q through the expression $\langle x^2 \rangle \propto t^{2/(3-q)}$, provided that the second moments of the displacement distribution remain finite, which is our case.

Let us consider the case where $\langle x_2 \rangle \cong \langle z^2 \rangle \propto t^{4/3}$ (Fig. 6). Comparing this numerically obtained relationship and the former equation, we can estimate the value for the *q* parameter: q = 3/2. With this value, we can write the analytical expression for the solution of equation (8) normalized by its standard deviation [25] as

$$p(x) = \frac{2/\pi}{(1+x^2)^2}.$$
 (10)

This function corresponds to the solid line plotted in Figure 2. The agreement between the numerical result and the analytical prediction indicates that a NLDE like equation (8) represents fairly well the evolution of the particles' fluctuations both in the vertical an horizontal directions at the early stages of the discharge.

Furthermore, as time increases the solutions provided by the Tsallis formalism to equation (8) tend to a Gaussian for values of q < 5/3 [25], as observed in our simulations when the system reaches the stationary regime (for the x-component). Although the meaning of the diffusivity constant in normal diffusion can be related to well-defined concepts like temperature and mobility, the diffusivity introduced in equation (8) lacks any obvious physical meaning. At this stage of the discharge, the diffusivity D would be determined by the complex interactions between flowing particles. They give rise to a transport coefficient with a physical meaning unavoidably more complex than just a characteristic length obtained from a well-defined particle velocity.

5 Discussion

We have studied the temporal fluctuations of particle displacements in the discharge of a silo by gravity. We show how at the early stages of the discharge the particle displacement presents distinctive features, as previously suggested by many authors. For that regime, it is possible to derive the PDFs for the displacement fluctuations of the particles from the non-extensive entropy theory introduced by Tsallis. We have shown that this formalism allows the treatment of diffusive systems presenting anomalous behavior through the introduction of a nonlinear diffusive equation. This equation would then provide a formal framework to represent the dynamical evolution of the PDFs from the beginning of the discharge to the fully developed regime. The introduction of this formalism might also offer an explanation for the jamming probability introduced in previous works [13, 2].

For the stationary regime, we corroborate the non-Gaussian features of the particle fluctuations for small displacements. Nevertheless, we found that the subparticle diffusive motions are ballistic, as is usual for the molecular fluids. In our opinion, the superdiffusive regime reported in some experiments [8] could be related to the effects of lateral walls on the particle mobility. It is a widely accepted fact that the typical boundary layer in granular material spans around 10 particle diameters, so the lateral size of the silo could cause strong effects on the particle displacement.

The transition between ballistic to normal diffusive regime validates the applicability of diffusive models. The discrepancy observed between the characteristic length used to fit the velocity profile and the one predicted by the model is caused by a wrong estimation on the scale for the typical subparticle displacement velocity. The non-Gaussian nature for the PDFs for the vertical coordinate should be certainly linked with this scales and will be studied in the future. This work has been supported by project FIS2005-03881 (MEC, Spain), and PIUNA (University of Navarra). R.A. thanks Friends of the University de Navarra for a scholarship.

References

- A. Samadani, A. Pradhan, A. Kudrolli, Phys. Rev. E 60, 7203 (1999).
- 2. K. To, P.-Y. Lai, H.K. Pak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 71 (2001).
- I. Zuriguel, L.A. Pugnaloni, A. Garcimartín, D. Maza, Phys. Rev. E 68, 030301(R) (2003).
- R.M. Nedderman, Statics and Kinematics of Granular Materials (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1992).
- 5. J. Litwiniszyn, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. 11, 593 (1963).
- 6. C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 4579 (1998).
- 7. W.W. Mullins, J. Appl. Phys. 43, 665 (1972).
- J. Choi, A. Kudrolli, R.R. Rosales, M.Z. Bazant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 174301 (2004).
- 9. S. Moka, P.R. Nott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 068003 (2005).
- R.M. Nedderman, U. Tüzün, Powder Technol. 22, 243 (1979).
- J. Choi, A. Kudrolli, M.Z. Bazant, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 17, S2533 (2005).
- 12. M.Z. Bazant, Mech. Mater. 38, 717 (2006).
- I. Zuriguel, A. Garcimartín, D. Maza, L.A. Pugnaloni, J.M. Pastor, Phys. Rev. E 71, 051303 (2005).
- 14. H. Makse, J. Kurchan, Nature 145, 614 (2002).
- 15. B. Utter, R.P. Behringer, Phys. Rev. E 69, 031308 (2004).
- 16. F. Radjai, S. Roux, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 174301 (2004).
- 17. J. Schäfer, S. Dippel, D.E. Wolf, J. Phys. I 6, 5 (1996).
- 18. D.C. Rapaport, *The Art of Molecular Dynamics Simulation* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2004).
- 19. C.S. Campbell, J. Fluid Mech. 348, 85 (1997).
- R. Arévalo, D. Maza, L. Pugnaloni, Phys. Rev. E 74, 0213031 (2006).
- 21. S.F. Edwards, in *Granular Matter: An Interdisciplinary* Approach (Springer, New York, 1994) p. 121.
- 22. J. Geng, R.P. Behringer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 238002 (2004).
- 23. J.-P. Bouchaud, A. Georges, Phys. Rep. 195, 127 (1990).
- 24. D.H. Zanette, Braz. J. Phys. 29, 108 (1999).
- M.F. Shlesinger, G.M. Zaslavsky, U. Frish (Editors), Lévy Fligths and Related Topics in Physics (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1995) p. 269.
- 26. A. Compte, Phys. Rev. E 53, 4191 (1996).
- 27. A.R. Plastino, A. Plastino, Physica A 222, 347 (1995).
- 28. A. Compte, D. Jou, J. Phys. A 29, 4321 (1996).
- 29. L. Borland, Phys. Rev. E 57, 6643 (1998).
- 30. A. Upadhyaya, J.-P. Rieu, J. Glazier, Y. Sawada, Physica A 293, 549 (2001).
- K.E. Daniels, C. Beck, E. Bodenschatz, Physica A 193, 208 (2004).
- P. Douglas, S. Bergamini, F. Renzoni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110601 (2006).
- 33. F. Sattin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, 1583 (2003).